

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN**

United States of America,  
Plaintiff,

**ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL**

v.

ANTHONY MICHAEL DIORIO,  
Defendant.

Case Number: 10-30034

\_\_\_\_\_ /  
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

**Part I – Findings of Fact**

- ☐ (1) I find that:
- ☐ there is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
  - ☐ for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 841 or 846;
  - ☐ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).
- ☐ (2) I further find that the defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

**Alternative Findings**

☒ I find that the government has established by a preponderance of the evidence that there is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.

☐ I find that the government has established by clear and convincing evidence that there is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

**Part II – Written Statement of Reasons for Detention**

☒ I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing established the following factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g):

- ☒ (a) nature of the offense - Defendant is charged in a Complaint with Credit Card Fraud.
- ☒ (b) weight of the evidence - The evidence is very strong.
- ☒ (c) history and characteristics of the defendant -
  - ☒ 1) physical and mental condition - Drug abuse (Amphetamines and marijuana).
  - ☒ 2) employment, financial, family ties - Minimal employment and family ties; few assets
  - ☐ 3) criminal history and record of appearance -
- ☐ (d) probation, parole or bond at time of the alleged offense -
- ☐ (e) danger to another person or community -

This defendant was initially granted an unsecured bond. He was ordered to appear at 11:00 a.m. the following day so that Pretrial Services could apply an electronic tether. He failed to appear. At 2:00 p.m., he was located by agents under circumstances indicating an intent to flee. He returned to court under escort. Pretrial Services subsequently determined that defendant had lied about his residence, family contacts, employment and drug abuse. Pretrial Services now recommends detention. I agree. This defendant is totally unworthy of trust. He is a confirmed flight risk.

**Part III – Directions Regarding Detention**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: February 1, 2010

\_\_\_\_\_  
s/Donald A. Scheer

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Signature of Judge*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Donald A. Scheer, United States Magistrate Judge

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Name and Title of Judge*